## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Appl. No. : 09/917,320 Confirmation No.: 9550

Applicant : Terry R. Bradfield

Filed : 07/27/2001 TC/A.U. : 2145

TC/A.U.: 2145 Examiner: Pollack, Melvin H.

Docket No : 1020 P10999

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY

SIR:

In response to the Interview Summary mailed on August 20, 2007, with the onemonth shortened statutory period for response set to expire on September 20, 2007, please enter the following remarks.

## REMARKS

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Pollack for conducting a telephone interview with Applicants' representative on August 16, 2007. During the interview, Examiner Pollack and Applicants' representative discussed the independent claims, the applied references, and the grounds of rejection. The substance of the interview is reflected by the previously submitted response filed on August 20, 2007.

Appl. No. 09/917,320 Docket No.: 1020.P10999 Response Dated September 20, 2007 Examiner: Pollack, Melvin H.

Reply to Interview Summary of August 20, 2007 TC/A.U. 2145

Applicants agree with the Examiner's Interview Summary only to the extent that each claim of the present application is patentable over the references in the record.

Applicants wish to point out that none of the documents cited in the Examiner's Interview Summary have been established on the record as being "prior art." As such, Applicants do not admit, either expressly or impliedly, that the cited documents are prior art. Further, Applicants do not acquiesce to any particular specific interpretation or analysis of any of the cited references set forth in the Examiner's Interview Summary.

It is Applicants' position that the definitions or constructions of the terms of the claims set forth in the Examiner's Interview Summary are unnecessary and in some cases unduly narrow. It is Applicants' position that all terms used in the claims of the present application are entitled to the broadest reasonable interpretation that may be obtained in their ordinary usage, as understood by one of ordinary skill in the art who is informed by the specification of the present application. As such, Applicants do not acquiesce, either expressly or impliedly, to the definitions or constructions of the terms of the claims set forth in the Examiner's Interview Summary.

Appl. No. 09/917,320 Response Dated September 20, 2007 Reply to Interview Summary of August 20, 2007 Docket No.: 1020.P10999 Examiner: Pollack, Melvin H. TC/A.U. 2145

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at 724-933-9338 to discuss

any matter concerning this application.

Respectfully submitted,

KACVINSKY LLC

/John F. Kacvinsky/

John F. Kacvinsky, Reg. No. 40,040 Under 37 CFR 1.34(a)

Dated: September 20, 2007

KACVINSKY LLC C/O Intellevate P.O. Box 52050 Minneapolis, MN 55402 (724) 933-5529